



## What went well?

+ Add New Card

Completing UAT across internal and external users

⚡ 0 ❌ 1 🍎 3 💬 0

Syncing between public api and website for QnA

⚡ 0 ❌ 1 🍎 2 💬 0

Getting the indexing system working in test!

⚡ 0 🍎 2 💬 0

Email notifications issue resolved and tested

⚡ 0 🍎 1 💬 0

Co-ordinated comms and planning for QnA release with others outside product

⚡ 0 ❌ 1 🍎 3 💬 0

Work between test, content and others on QnA

⚡ 0 ❌ 1 🍎 4 💬 0

Being able to run tests on the final deployments on Prod-int ahead of a release

⚡ 0 🍎 2 💬 0

First example of research > design > dev on committees. Not ideal sequencing but a pragmatic approach!

⚡ 0 ❌ 1 🍎 2 💬 0

## What didn't go well?

+ Add New Card

Too late in flagging issues with reduced performance compared to live

⚡ 0 🍎 1 💬 0

IP restrictions turned out to involve more work than we thought

⚡ 0 🍎 0 💬 0

Not being able to make more progress on daily reports work

⚡ 0 🍎 0 💬 0

Getting a review of the cyber changes late

⚡ 0 🍎 0 💬 0

Issue with the live prod-ext SQL instance was not on local timezone - meant we had to roll back Committees API release

⚡ 0 🍎 1 💬 0

## What can be improved?

+ Add New Card

How can we get better visibility and assess confidence that tasks will be complete by the end of the sprint/deadline?

⚡ 0 🍎 0 💬 0

Involving UX at the start of work

⚡ 0 ❌ 1 🍎 2 💬 0

Ownership/leading of tasks, updates on progress complete and side bar chats.

⚡ 0 🍎 1 💬 0

Development resources in the cluster need to be increased. Too much work across different workstreams at the moment.

⚡ 0 🍎 0 💬 0

Establish design and research as a more authoritative voice when in discussion with stakeholders

⚡ 0 🍎 3 💬 0

Prioritise performance issues earlier in the development process.

⚡ 0 🍎 0 💬 0

Having clarity on what state data needs to be in when we refresh to test environments

⚡ 0 🍎 1 💬 0

+ Add Column